

ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

ELECTION DATE: November 8,	2016	6 AUG 19 PNIEASURE I.D. (if any):	Regulation of Media
JURISDICTION: City of Long Be	ach		
·	(Please mark	(x) in the appropriate box)	
	nent in Favor tal to Argument Against	★Argument Against □ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor	

LEFT TO TA

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. The number of words/acronyms that are in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED shall not exceed 30 words for Arguments and 25 for Rebuttals per documents. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. NOTE: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please type statements below in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using DUTCH801 Rm BT font in 10 point size. However, statement can be submitted using any standard font.

<u>Don't be fooled.</u> This Measure is about greed at taxpayer expense - it is <u>not</u> about access to medical marijuana. There are thirty companies that deliver medical marijuana to Long Beach, <u>today</u>. Even if you are in favor of medical marijuana, beware this Measure is about lining the pockets of dispensary owners at the expense of Long Beach citizens. Here are the facts you need to know:

- If passed, the City's Director of Financial Management determined this Measure <u>will</u> cause a <u>net loss</u> to the City of \$5 to \$7 million dollars. Your tax dollars will have to make up that loss.
- If passed, this Measure reduces or eliminates the tax on marijuana previously approved the Long Beach voters in 2014. More greed at taxpayer expense.
- History showed us that for each permitted dispensary that opens in Long Beach 3-7 illegal dispensaries will open as well. However, this Measure <u>prohibits</u> Long Beach from making dispensary owners to pay the cost to regulate dispensaries or close down illegal dispensaries.
- This time the crime will be worse because the dispensary owners included language that prohibits a police officer from even entering a dispensary absent a search warrant. What other business in the State is so afraid of the proper enforcement of the law that police officers cannot even walk in?
- This Measure allows deliveries to 18 year-old children! Even Dr. Sanjay Gupta, a marijuana supporter, has cautioned that he would not allow his children to have marijuana "until they are in their mid-20s when their brains are fully developed." How does this measure protect our children?

A yes vote is a vote for higher taxes, lower property values and more crime.

This Measure does <u>NOT</u> "Regulate Medical Marijuana", it gives tax dollars to marijuana dispensary owners. Don't be fooled.

RF53 A&R.Feb2015

LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

ELECTION PLANNING SECTION (562) 462-2317

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS (Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

The undersigned author(s) of the: Argument in Favor Argument Against	☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor
of ballot measure Regulation of Medical	Mariju _{ga} pacimiMumisipal
(name and/or letter) election for the City of Long Beach	(title of election) to be held on
November 8, 2016	
(date)	hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the
best of his/her/their knowiedge and belief.	
1. Marc R. Greenberg 1. Attorney of Law Title to Appear on Argument	Signature S/15/14 Date
Melvin Marks M.D. Printed Name	Signature
Physician Title to Appear on Argument	8/15/16 Date
EDWIN B. SHALKEROFF, Printed Name	M. D. Signature
PHEICIAN, OBIGUM, RED A	8/19/2016
4. Printed Name	Signature
Title to Appear on Argument	Date
5. Printed Name	Signature
Title to Appear on Argument	Date
MARGORIANI EU ING INTERNATION - Mary C	
IMPORTANT FILING INFORMATION: I,	am the notify me of any questions pertaining to this filing. Below is
my contact information.	
Mailing Address:	E-Mail Address:
Contact Numbers:	Evening Fax
OFFICE USE ONLY	Time Stamp
	to the state of th
NUMBER OF WORDS: Word Cou	IE AUG 19 PM L: 29
NUMBER OF WORDS WITH BOLD FACE, ETC.:	\$100 L 0x1 \$140
PROJECT CODE NUMBER:	
ELECTION DEPUTY:	